Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program, 2014 to 2019

																			_										,			_					, 7
		38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	26	13	12	22	21	24	14	25	18	20	19	23	27	17	16	15	6	ω	_		Ծ	4		Old	
		32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	23	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	မ	8	7	တ	տ	4	3		2	-1		Priority	
Misc. Engineering, ROW, & Construction Costs Construction TOTAL Annual Balance County Forces Construction Limit		* SR 505 Corridor Improvements	* Highway 603 (4) Rehabilitation	* Jackson Hwy Rehabilitation	* Middle Fork Road Improvements	* Downie Road Extension	* Gallagher Road Extension	Teitzel Road Bridge Approach Repair	* Forest Napavine Road East Improvements	* Avery Road West/North Military Road Intersection	* Mickelsen Parkway	* Tucker Road Widening	* Avery Road West Improvements	* Borst Avenue Safety Improvements	Harrison Avenue Improvements	Isbell Road Rehabilitation	Boyd Road Rehabilitation	Rush Road Improvements	Swofford Road Rehabilitation	North Fork Road Realignment	Highway 603 Stabilization	* Wigley Road Improvements	Roundtree Road Slide Repair	Centralia Alpha Slide & Safety	Bishop Road Improvements	Leudinghaus Bridge No. 87	Davis Creek Br. #181 - Turnarounds / US12 Intersections	King Road Rehabilitation	Federal Forest Road Improvements	Countywide Misc. Safety & Guardrail	Countywide 3R Program	Capital Improvement Projects	* Countywide Culvert Replacement for Fish Passage	Countywide Bridge/Road Bank Protection	Non-Capital Improvement Projects	Project	*= Outside Funding Anticipated
		2.180	10.900	0.257	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.700	0.000	0.430	0.000	0.000	0.090	0.000	0.548	0.000	0.000	2.600	0.000	2.700	11.700	0.720	0.837	10.150	0.422	0.020	1.070	8.414					,	,		BWP.	
		5.500	11.700	7.136	3.740	,	0.970	0.990	1.565	0.470	0.700	5.549	2.700	0.298	2.544	1.410	1.140	3.164	1.777	4.050	13.420	1.033	0.841	11.850	1.507	0.032	1.084	10.554	٠	-	,			1		EWP	
\$845											\$10		·			\$10	\$10	\$25	\$20	\$90	\$20	\$20	\$50	\$250	\$10	\$100	\$20	\$20	\$5	\$45	\$60		\$40	\$40) (134) (1 	
\$285																			\$5	\$50	\$10	\$10	_	\$140		\$50	\$5			\$5	\$5		\$ 5	_	_	2014 ROW	
\$8,951 \$10,081 (\$10,081) \$1,279																					\$100				\$800	\$2,900	\$425	\$2,800	\$95	\$250	\$1,200			\$381		Constr	i c
\$317											\$10					\$10	\$10	\$75		\$90		\$5	\$5	\$30					\$5	\$10	\$40		\$ 2	\$25		194 I	
\$167																		\$100		\$50				\$10						\$ 5	\$2					2015 ROW	
\$7,646 \$8,130 (\$8,130) \$1,279			٠																	\$945	\$2,150	\$300	\$320	\$2,722					\$95	\$100	\$550		\$103	\$361		Constr	
\$ 655			-						\$100		\$10	\$100	\$180			\$30	\$60	\$50		\$15									\$5	\$10	\$40		\$30	\$25		ÞE	
\$297									\$30			\$100	\$20			\$35	\$50	\$50										-		\$ 5	\$2		\$5			2016 Row c	
\$6,967 \$6,967 (\$6,967) \$1,279	212															\$350	\$350	\$2,000	\$750	\$1,745									\$95	\$100	\$550			\$75		onstr	
\$6,288	200	\$1,022	\$120	\$20	\$2,940	\$100	\$100	\$30	\$226	\$20	\$120	\$185	\$10	\$150	\$1,000					-									\$15	\$30	\$120		\$5	\$75		PE III	
\$1,788	64 700	\$75	\$40		\$1,020	\$20	\$30		\$42		\$350	\$185	\$5																	\$15	\$6					2017-2019 ROW	
\$29,576 \$37,652 (\$37,652) \$3,837	973 000	\$1,791	\$951	\$480	\$11,770	\$1,080	\$970	\$170	\$3,744	\$50	\$3,000	\$2,280	\$500																\$285	\$300	\$1,650		\$330	\$225		Constr	
\$62,830 \$62,830	11	\$2,888	\$1,111	\$500	\$15,730	\$1,200	\$1,100	\$200	\$4,142	\$70	\$3,500	\$2,850	\$715	\$150	\$1,000	\$435	\$480	\$2,300	\$775	\$2,985	\$2,280	\$335	\$375	\$3,152	\$810	\$3,050	\$450	\$2,820	\$600	\$875	\$4,225		\$520	\$1,207		Project Total	

Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program, 2014 to 2019

\$0 \$2,140 \ \$5,014 \ \$4,01	\$0 \$2,140 \ \$5,014 \ \$4,01	90 92,(40 45,01×			20	16	\$6	Ī	\$3,939 \$007		[,, 9]	Lewis C	<u> </u>		Misc. Engineering, ROW, & Construction Costs Construction TOTAL Annual Balance County Forces Construction Limit		<u> </u>
80.608	\$2 626 \$0 \$2 740 \$9 574 \$4 597	\$2 626 \$0 \$2 740 \$9 574	80.608	80.608	7 F	7 F	brack brack	٩L	71	11	63	¬ F	-	$\ \cdot\ $	ا ء د		Т
\$1,444 \$722								1		\$722				2.180	*	38	ان
\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000								\$50	\$ 8		7.136 0 11.700	0.257	30 * Jackson Hwy Rehabilitation 31 * Highway 603 (4) Rehabilitation	36	ພ່ພ
\$15,730										_	\$0	T	3.740	0.000	29 * Middle Fork Road Improvements	35	u
\$1,200	\$1,200							<u></u>			\$0		,	0.000	28 * Downie Road Extension	4	ω
\$1,100	\$1,100							<u> </u>			\$0		0.970	0.000	27 * Gallagher Road Extension	33	3
								<u> </u>			00	\$200	0.990	0.700	Teitzel Road Bridge Approach Repair	32	32
\$4,132								ļ			\$10		1.565	0.000	25 * Forest Napavine Road East Improvements	31	31
\$20											\$50		0.470	0.430	24 * Avery Road West/North Military Road Intersection	ő	30
\$3,490											\$10		0.700	0.000	23 * Mickelsen Parkway	ğ	29
\$2,565	\$2,565	\$2,565	4					Ш		\$285	\$0		5.549	0.000	22 * Tucker Road Widening	ŏ	28
									\$618	\$97	\$0		2.700	0.090	21 * Avery Road West Improvements	ő	26
									\$130	\$20			0.298	0.000	20 * Borst Avenue Safety Improvements	ω	13
									\$865	\$135	\$0		2.544	0.548	19 Harrison Avenue Improvements	2	12
\$425											0	\$10	1.410	0.000	18 Isbell Road Rehabilitation	2	22
\$470								-			0	\$10	1.140	0.000	17 Boyd Road Rehabilitation	1	21
\$2,300								_			\$0		3.164	2.600	16 Rush Road Improvements	4	24
								-			75	\$775	1.777	0.000	15 Swofford Road Rehabilitation	4	14
\$2,600	\$2,600	\$2,600	49					-		\$290		\$95	4.050	2.700	North Fork Road Realignment	5	25
\$1,025	\$1,025	\$1,025	49							\$114		\$1,141	\neg	11.700	13 Highway 603 Stabilization	8	18
\$335								_			\$0		+	0.720	12 * Wigley Road Improvements	-	20
							- 1	+						0.837		°	76
\$957	\$957	\$957					Į	+	\$1,634					10.150		ω	23
								\dashv	\$692				1.507	0.422		7	27
\$2,288 \$381		\$2,288	\$2,288	\$2,288	\$2,288	\$2,	-	-		\$381			0.032	0.020	8 Leudinghaus Bridge No. 87	7	17
\$338 \$56		\$338	\$338	\$338	\$338	€9	- 1			\$56		T	1.084	1.070	7 Davis Creek Br. #181 - Turnarounds / US12 Intersections	<u>"</u>	16
\$977	\$977	\$977				-	- 1			\$109		\$1,734	10.554	8.414	6 King Road Rehabilitation	<u>.</u>	16
							. !				٥	\$600		,	5 Federal Forest Road Improvements	-	6
											5ì	\$875		,	4 Countywide Misc. Safety & Guardrail	_	3
\$2,740	\$2,740	\$2,740	\$2,740	\$2							51	\$1,485	,		3 Countywide 3R Program	_	-
								\vdash							Gapital Improvement Projects		
\$416	\$416							-		\$78		\$26	,	-	2 * Countywide Culvert Replacement for Fish Passage		51
								7	\$607		0	\$600			1 Countywide Bridge/Road Bank Protection		4
															Non-Capital Improvement Projects		
FEMA TIA CAPP	FEMA TIA CAPP RAP Fed/State	FEMA TIA CAPP RAP	FEMA TIA CAPP	FEMA TIA	FEMA	Н	껙	┨	H		Funds	Local	EMP	BMP	Priority Project		Priority
	87.5% 33% of 100% 90% 12.5% Project 10% Other	87.5% 33% of 100% 90% 12.5% Project 10%	87.5% 33% of 100% 12.5% Project	87.5% 33% of 12.5% Project	87.5% 12.5%		5 5		% 80% 20%	86.5% ing 13.5%	Matching	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					6
Funding Sour	Funding Sour	Funding Sour	Funding Sour			1		1	1	┢	Lewis Co. Funds	Lewis			*= Outside Funding Anticipated		
								10	7014107018	Program.	DACITICATI	oix-real transportation improvement Frogram,	allopoit	Y-1 COI 1	3		

EXTERNAL FUNDING SOURCES

Six Year Transportation Improvement Program

STATE OF WASHINGTON PROGRAMS

County Arterial Preservation
Program (CAPP) - Funds are limited
to the direct and attributable indirect
costs associated with paved surface
preservation and rehabilitation
activities on existing arterial roadways.
Funds are distributed by direct
allocation by the County Road
Administration Board (CRAB) based
on percentage of paved arterial lane
miles in the county compared with the
total in the state. The 2013 allocation
is \$462,825. These funds may pay up
to fifty percent of eligible costs.

Rural Arterial Program (RAP) - 90% Federal, 10% Local Funds are limited to the direct and attributable indirect costs associated with arterial and collector road improvement projects. Funds are allocated by a rating system within the Southwest Region on submitted projects for approval. Each county in the Southwest Region may submit projects requesting funds not to exceed 30% of the region's biennial apportionment. These funds may pay up to ninety percent of eligible costs.

Transportation Improvement Board (TIB)

The Transportation Improvement Board (TIB) is an independent state agency that distributes and manages street construction and maintenance grants to 320 cities and urban counties throughout Washington State. The funding for TIB's grant programs come from revenue generated by three cents of the statewide gas tax. The primary purpose of the TIB is to administer state funding for local government transportation projects. Projects are funded utilizing TIB revenues in combination with local matching funds

and private sector contributions. The authorizing legislation for TIB is contained in RCW 47.26.121. The administrative rules are found in WAC 479. TIB administers the following grant programs:

Urban Arterial Program (UAP)
Urban Corridor Program
(UCP)
Urban Sidewalk Program
(SP)
Small City Arterial Program
(SCAP)
Small City Preservation
Program (SCPP)
Small City Sidewalk Program
(SCSP)

eligible for listing on the National

Register of Historical Places.

FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) Act:

21 extended current law (SAFETEA-Signed into law on July 6, 2012, MAPwhich provided funding for fiscal years the federal aid highway program, with \$39.7 billion in FY 2013 and \$40.3 guaranteed tunding of \$82.0 billion for years 2013-2014. It provides total highway, public transportation, and authorizes federal investment for the LU) for the remainder of FY 2012 and expired in 2009, was extended 10 program; Safe, Accountable, Flexible program replaced the previous billion in FY 2014. This federal highway safety programs for fiscal expired on September 31, 2012. 2004-2012. The bill, which initially Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A times and with the passage of MAP-21 Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU),

Lewis County is eligible to receive these funds through the Washington State Department of Transportation under the following grant programs:

Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program – (BR) 80% Federal, 20% Local

bridges over waterways, topographical

Replace or rehabilitate roadway

barriers, other roadways, railroads, canals, etc., when those bridges have been determined deficient because of structural deficiencies, physical deterioration, or functional obsolescence.

National Historic Covered Bridge Preservation – 80% Federal, 20% Local Rehabilitate, Repair, or preserve covered bridges that are listed or

National Scenic Byways Program –

80% Federal, 20% Local Improve and/or enhance roads having outstanding scenic, historic, cultural, natural, recreational, and archeologica qualities and provides for designation of these roads as National Scenic Byways, All-American Roads or America's Byways.

Railway-Highway Crossings – 90% Federal, 10% Local Eliminate hazards and/or install/upgrade protective devices at crossings to reduce the number of fatalities and injuries at public

Safe Routes to School Program -100% Federal

highway-rail grade crossings.

Make walking or bicycling to school safe and more appealing; and to facilitate the planning, development, and implementation of projects that will improve safety, and reduce traffic, fuel consumption, and air pollution in the vicinity of schools.

Surface Transportation Program – (STP) 86.5% Federal, 13.5% Local, Provides flexible funding that may be used by States and local agencies for projects on any Federal-aid highway, including the National Highway System (NHS), bridge projects on any public road, transit capital projects, and intercity and intracity bus terminals and facilities.

Transportation Enhancement
Program – (STPE) 100% Federal
Projects that add value to the
transportation system e.g. pedestrian
or bicycle facilities, landscaping and
other scenic beautification, historic
preservation, etc.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

The mission of the Federal Emergency Management Agency is to provide assistance to State, Tribal and local governments so that communities can quickly respond to and recover from major disasters or emergencies declared by the President. The Federal share of assistance is not less than 75% of the eligible cost for emergency measures and permanent restoration. The grantee (usually the State) determines how the non-Federal share (up to 25%) is split with the sub-grantees (eligible applicants).

Salmon Recovery Funding Board -(SRFB)

Pursuant to the Salmon Recovery Act: Chapter 77.85 RCW, Lewis County continues to support salmon recovery by funding habitat protection and restoration projects, and produce sustainable and measurable benefits for fish and their habitat.